

RAPS Journal of Regulatory Affairs Generative AI Policy

Title of article xxx

Date of submission Day Month Year

Name, mailing address, and phone number of author(s)

Author 1. _____ Author 2. _____

Author 3. _____ Author 4. _____

Author 5. _____ Author 6. _____

RAPS Journal of Regulatory Affairs policy on generative artificial intelligence

With the increasing popularity of generative AI chatbots such as OpenAI's ChatGPT, RAPS has created the following policy to outline the acceptable use of AI tools. While RAPS remains committed to adopting new technologies to aid our mission, RAPS understands the risks and limitations of generative AI and wants to ensure responsible use of these tools. We aim to protect content, employees, members, clients, suppliers, customers, volunteers, subject matter experts, and the organization from harm.

RAPS is committed to providing its readers with content they can trust. In recent years, generative AI tools – such as ChatGPT, CoPilot, and Claude – have become widely available and are increasingly used to assist with writing and research. To that end, *the Journal of Regulatory Affairs* joins many other publishers in prohibiting the use of generative AI tools for the purpose of creating original content – including abstracts, introductions, analyses, tables, figures, and conclusions – or references.

Unacceptable use. Authors must not use generative AI tools to originate manuscript content – including abstracts, introductions, analyses, interpretations, discussions, conclusions, references, tables, or figures. AI-generated content cannot constitute original authorship (see the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors' [definition of an author](#)), nor can it replace an author's accumulated knowledge and expertise.

Acceptable use. Authors may use AI tools to assist with copyediting tasks, such as correcting grammar, spelling, punctuation, readability, or formatting, provided these edits do not introduce new substantive content, and the authors review and approve all changes. AI tools may also be used to support authors in other limited, defined tasks that do not replace the human author as the originator of the content (see **Table**).

Table. Examples of generally acceptable use and author responsibility

Generally acceptable use	Author responsibility
Improving grammar, spelling, and punctuation	Author must originate the text
Enhancing readability or style	Author verifies meaning is preserved
Assisting in language translation	Author verifies technical accuracy of translated text
Brainstorming/researching sources*	Author independently verifies all sources
Formatting tables or figures	Author originates figure/table content and verifies logic
Assisting in data gathering and analysis	Author carefully reviews methods, outputs, and interpretations for accuracy

*Keep in mind that some AI tools are limited to suggesting open-access sources, which may be of lower quality.

Your signature confirms that the submitted manuscript adheres to *the Journal of Regulatory Affairs* policy on artificial intelligence, namely that no portion of the content or material has been originally created using generative AI or any other automated content generation tool. Please return this completed form to the RAPS editorial team at journal@raps.org

Accepted in the author's individual capacity:

Author(s) signature(s) and date

Regulatory Affairs Professionals Society

5635 Fishers Lane, Suite 400, Rockville, MD 20852, USA • Tel +1.301.770.2920 • Fax +1.301.770.2924 • **RAPS.org**